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Abstract
Priapism is a disorder defined as a persistent erection maintained without an appropriate sexual stimulus lasting for longer than 4 hours. Priapism is generally classified into two categories, ischemic and non-ischemic. Ischemic priapism is considered a true urological emergency as prolongation of this subtype is often associated with loss of sinusoidal endothelial function, corporal fibrosis, and necrosis. Non-ischemic priapism is a type of priapism often caused by the formation of arteriovenous fistulas that create dysregulation of cavernosa blood flow. One less common cause of priapism includes malignancy. Malignancy, either primary or secondary, is considered a rare cause of priapism. During our review of malignant priapism, several etiologies have been identified as potential causes of malignant priapism. Pathologies such as chronic myelogenous leukemia, chronic lymphocytic leukemia, and myelodysplastic syndromes have been implicated in the development of priapism, most likely due to hyperleukocytosis. Metastasis from distal or regional tumors such as the lung, bladder, prostate have been shown to produce secondary tumors that can initiate the development of priapism. Thus, it is important to consider malignancy as a possible cause of a patient’s priapism. The clinical presentation and prognosis between patients vary greatly and modern treatment modalities have been shown to differ greatly in its efficacy. A comprehensive study that addresses the different forms of malignant priapism may benefit healthcare professionals by providing a better understanding of the complexities, etiologies, and early interventions that can be used for their patients.
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Introduction

Priapism is a manifestation of irregular blood flow in the penis causing a persistent and undesired erection in the absence of sexual stimuli, lasting more than 4 hours. The glans is often spared in most forms of priapism due to separate blood supply. Ischemic priapism is a result of corpora cavernosa smooth muscle relaxation that fails to detumescence. Blood becomes trapped within the corpora cavernosa and leads to a buildup of pressure with accompanying hypoxia, ischemia, and acidosis within the penile compartment.

Malignancy has been thought to be a cause of priapism in some patients. The pathophysiology is mostly unclear but is thought to be a manifestation of mechanical blockage of the corporal cavernosa drainage system. Malignant priapism develops from tumor invasion of the penile compartment. Rarely are cases of malignant priapism caused by primary penile tumors. More often, metastasis or spread from other primary tumors can result in priapism. Cases of hematological cancers, such as chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) and chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML), spread via a hematogenous route and enter the penile compartment resulting in priapism. Regional metastasis may be more likely to induce priapism via direct invasion of tumor mass, as seen in cases of urothelial bladder carcinoma, muscle invasive bladder carcinoma and prostate adenocarcinoma. Distant metastasis of tumor cells such as in the lung, may spread through lymphatic tissue and reach the penis resulting in priapism.

Presentations

Malignant priapism may occur in isolation but may also manifest along with skin lesions, organ enlargement, and adenopathy [1, 2]. The absence of metastatic symptoms may make ruling in malignancy as the primary cause of priapism difficult. Presence of generalized metastatic symptoms along with other organ involvement may promote the clinical suspicion of priapism related to oncological factors. Malignancy being a cause of priapism may be overlooked by healthcare providers due to its rarity. An in-depth history as well as diagnostic lab values and imaging studies may contribute to early identification of underlying malignancy. In doing so, early intervention and targeted therapy should be implemented to halt progression of disease. As will be discussed in this paper, there is no standard treatment or protocol associated with malignant cases of priapism. Therapies as well as prognoses are heavily based on its subtype and stage of disease.

In some cases, priapism may be the initial presenting symptom or complaint [2, 3]. The pathophysiology of malignant priapism is thought to be due to mechanical obstruction. Thus, like other blood dyscrasias like sickle cell, patients present with ischemic priapism [1, 4]. Tissue hypoxia leads to toxic metabolites which cause pain and often times corporal aspiration suggests a hypoxic environment.

Some case studies, however, have demonstrated that malignant priapism can also manifest with non-classical cavernosa blood gas analysis values [5]. In this particular case, the patient presented with signs of ischemic priapism, however aspiration analysis was ambiguous.

Commonly, metastasis is identified as the underlying cause of a patient’s priapism. Metastasis depends on the cancer type but generally occurs through hematogenous or lymphatic spread and local invasion. Some studies have demonstrated that metastasis of carcinoma from areas like the lung, rectosigmoid, prostate and bladder have been implicated in the development of priapism in some patients [5, 6, 7]. Spread from distant areas may be explained by lymphatic or hematogenous metastasis of carcinoma. Carcinoma located in regions near the penis, such as bladder and prostate may be better explained by structural invasion as well as metastatic spread. Despite the extensive blood supply of the penis, metastasis to its blood supply is less common than to that of other organ structures. The reason for this is unclear.

Hematological manifestations of malignancy such as chronic myeloid leukemia, chronic lymphocytic leukemia, and myelodysplastic syndromes have been identified as causes of priapism in some patients [2, 8]. It is thought that patients with hematological malignancies associated with priapism may have a more favorable prognosis compared to those with non-hematological factors. The reason for this may be explained by the sensitivities of the primary malignancies to treatment [9]. Nevertheless, failure to address ischemic priapism has been associated with complete loss of erectile function if not addressed within 24 hours [10].

Non-ischemic priapism may develop but it is thought to be less likely. Formation of arteriovenous fistulas from metastatic disease is one implication but data is relatively weak in this area.

Priapism can be due to iatrogenic implications when treating underlying malignancy in patients with priapism. Damage to the penis can precipitate the formation of arteriovenous fistulas and cause a non-ischemic priapism although seldom cases of this has been reported [11]. Figure 1 shows that common characteristics of the two types of priapism.

Treatment

Treatment of priapism is heavily dependent on whether it is ischemic or non-ischemic, proceeding from noninvasive measures such as intravenous injection of vasoactive medications like epinephrine to surgical intervention, namely shunting, when primary measures have failed to produce detumescence [12].

In ischemic priapism, the use of vasoactive drugs is effective because smooth muscle tone is essential in detumescence of priapism. Generally, smooth muscle relaxation is the primary issue causing the maintenance of an erection during priapism. This is opposed to the relatively dilated status of veins seen in the flaccid penis, which allows for proper drainage of the tissue. While there are multitudes of causes for such priapism, including mechanical injury, anticoagulation medications, blood disorders, and various oncological conditions, use of these vasoactive medications are effective in relieving priapism, thereby minimizing its harmful effects [4, 13].

More persistent cases of ischemic priapism generally fall onto shunting between the corpus cavernosum and glans penis so that blood may be released from the penis [11]. This allows for detumescence and aids in restoring normal blood flow throughout the penis [14].

Non-ischemic priapism is generally treated with the use of coagulation to staunch bleeding occurring in the penis. Numerous treatments make use of embolization for cases such as transcatheter embolization and micro-coil embolization to cause controlled clotting within the affected area [15, 16].

Oncology related priapism is unique in its treatment due to the many presentations it has and the mechanisms by which it causes priapism. Tumors may have metastasized to the penis, originated in the penis, or this priapism could be a consequence of hematological malignancy. Regardless of the origin, however, priapism resulting from malignancy presents almost exclusively as ischemic priapism [1].

Patients experiencing drug induced priapism may respond differently to common treatment options if they also suffer from related malignancies. In one such case, a man suffering from muscle invasive bladder cancer experienced priapism from a minimal dose of tadalafil which was taken to treat erectile dysfunction. Despite the low dosage and presentation with
common ischemic priapism, treatment with epinephrine was ineffective, requiring a shunt to relieve his condition [6].

Although rare, non-ischemic malignant priapism can result from secondary tumor growth in the penis. One such case is documented, in which a patient presented with a non-painful erection characteristic of non-ischemic priapism. In this case, a biopsy revealed nests of cancer cells that had metastasized to the penis. Recommended treatment for this patient included Sunitinib and radiation therapy, however radiation therapy was refused. In cases like this, however, further cancer treatment should be pursued to limit further metastasis [3].

Priapism in patients suffering from leukemias is a well-documented phenomenon that is believed to be caused primarily by hyperleukocytosis. Treatment for this focuses on addressing the direct cause of the priapism in conjunction with the patient’s underlying condition. This involves the same general treatments for ischemic priapism described earlier, largely by using vasoactive and surgical options in addition to the patient’s oncologic treatments [17, 18, 19].

It should be noted that it is commonplace to expand oncologic treatments in response to the presentation of malignant priapism. Doing so using cancer targeting drugs such as kinase inhibitors sunitinib or imatinib has seen varying success in treating metastatic priapism resulting from kidney cancer and leukemia [2, 3].

Prognosis

Of all different cases of penile metastasis, only 20-50% of cases present some form of malignant priapism [20, 21]. Additionally, while other treatments for these cases – mechanical (prolonged compression of the perineum with the application of ice packs), pharmacological (oral, intracavernous, and intravenous), radiological, and surgical (ligation of arteries or arteriovenous shunting) – are available, prognosis of penile metastases with malignant priapism tends to be poor.

As priapism is related to secondary penile metastasis, such as bladder and prostate cancer, this can be a sign of a more serious case of malignant cancer as the average life expectancy of patients with malignant priapism averages around 9 months with a maximum of 18 months usually being the longest recorded survival [5, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27].

In a systematic review of penile metastasis and malignant priapism, Andrea Cocci and her group were able to identify 69 cases of penile metastasis from 2003 to 2013, with metastases being located on the root (38.8%), the shaft (38.8%) and the glans (22.2%) of the penis. Of these cases, ten patients have been reported to have priapism. In their review, they performed a Kaplan-Meier curve analysis comparing patients with metastasis, finding that cases with malignant priapism had a worse prognosis than those without priapism [26].

This data is consistent with a literature review that analyzed 29 cases of penile metastasis – 7 cases presenting priapism – and found that the prognosis of secondary penile malignancies averaged approximately 9 months, with an overall survival of less than 18 months [20].

It should be noted that life expectancy should be determined based on the etiology of cancer [22, 24, 28]. One study shows that patients with rectal primary tumors who developed malignant priapism have the longest survival time being 7 and 9 years with a median survival of 18 months since the last surgical treatment [20, 21, 27]. Additionally, Andrea Cocci and her group were able to identify 10 patients who presented with priapism as the first symptom – 5 from urological and 5 from non-urological cancers – and determined that patients with urological cancer had a greater median survival time of 30 months compared to patients with non-urological cancers at 15 months [26].

However, despite these outliers and how penile metastasis spreads, prognoses of secondary penile malignancies – including malignant priapism – remain poor, with the majority of patients surviving less than 18 months regardless of treatment. Table 1 shows that cases of priapism, treatment and outcomes.

Conclusion

Priapism remains a common disorder, responsible for numerous
emergency hospitalizations. Presentations of priapism often depend on its type. Ischemic priapism is known to be a surgical emergency requiring immediate attention to avoid drastic sequelae. Non-ischemic priapism, although not urgent, requires therapy in order to preserve penile functionality. Treatment for priapism remains a complex topic. Each case presents numerous variables that alter the course of treatment. While the goal of treating priapism is to reach detumescence, it is further complicated by the presence of malignancy. Hematological malignancies as well as tumor metastases have been described in various literature as causes of priapism. In some cases, it may be the first presenting symptom. In other cases, it may result from known malignancy. Whichever case, it is important to further explore the patient’s clinical history and provide a diagnostic evaluation to assess for malignancy. Further studies on malignancy and priapism should be pursued to properly address the presentation of malignant priapism and develop a treatment model to improve patient outcomes.
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